



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,779	07/16/2003	Hugh West	25293	1674
28624	7590	01/04/2006	EXAMINER	
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27 P.O. BOX 9777 FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063			HALPERN, MARK	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1731		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/621,779	WEST ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mark Halpern	1731	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 11/1/2005. Claim 15 is amended.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2) Claims 1-2, 5-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Pittman (6,670,035).

Claims 1-2, 8-10: Pittman discloses wood pulp fluff fibers having a particulate material, such as calcium oxide or magnesium oxide, attached to a retention aid, such

Art Unit: 1731

as polyamides, in an amount from about 0.1% to about 1% based on the weight of the polyamide. Since the weight of the polyamide is within the range of 5% to 75% of the weight of the fiber, the amount of the material is calculated to be within the claimed amount of the weight of the pulp fiber. Polyamide as a retention aid is water soluble as admitted and disclosed in the present Specification, pg. 3, lines 1-5. Such other materials, as talc or calcium carbonate, may be considered as fillers (col. 4, lines 14-63). It is inherent, or in the least it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the particulate material of Pittman is capable of reducing hydrogen sulfide, since the particulate material of Pittman is the same material in the same amounts as disclosed in the present invention.

Claims 4-6, 11-13, 15: the wood pulp fluff fibers of Pittman disclosed above are made into sheets by wet-laid method, the sheet are of basis weight of up to 500 gsm. The sheets are dried (col. 5, lines 35-65).

Claims 7, 14: the products formed are absorbent (col. 5, lines 1-34).

3) Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pittman in view of Hochwalt (US 2002/0054919). Pittman is applied as above for claim 1; Pittman fails to disclose that the particulate material is zeolite. Hochwalt discloses zeolite (Abstract) used for the purpose of reducing odors from hydrogen sulfite [0003], -[0007]. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Pittman and Hochwalt, because such a combination would permit the product of Pittman to remove a wide variety of odors as disclosed by Hochwalt [0003].

***Response to Amendment***

4) Applicants' arguments filed 11/1/2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the cited prior art, Pittman, does not disclose polymers that are water soluble retention aids.

Pittman discloses polyamides as retention aids, which are water soluble as even admitted and disclosed in the present Specification, pg. 3, lines 1-5. Nylon 6, nylon 66, are only some examples of polyamides (col. 4, lines 30-35).

Applicants allege that Pittman does not disclose a bicomponent fiber, which is 95% water soluble.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a bicomponent fiber, which is 95% water soluble) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In regard to claim 3, Applicants allege that Pittman in view of Hochwalt does not disclose the invention.

Pittman is applied as above for claim 1; Pittman fails to disclose that the particulate material is zeolite. Hochwalt discloses zeolite (Abstract) used for the purpose of reducing odors from hydrogen sulfite [0003], -[0007]. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Pittman and Hochwalt, because such a

Art Unit: 1731

combination would permit the product of Pittman to remove a wide variety of odors as disclosed by Hochwalt [0003].

***Conclusion***

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Mark Halpern  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1731